Hyde Review Task and Finish Group 22 July 2015, 9.30am Training Room 2

Members present: Mr A Shaxson (Chairman), Mr N Galloway, C Neville, Mrs P Plant

and Mrs C Apel (as Chairman of Overview and Scrutiny

Committee)

Officers: Mr R Dunmall, Mr S Hansford, Miss L Higenbottam, Mrs B Jones

and Mrs L Rudziak

By invitation: Tenant A and Tenant B (two Hyde tenants), Ms C Brown

(Director of Residents Services (Regions)) and Mr D Morrisey

(Director of Core Operations) The Hyde Group

1. Chairman's Introduction

- There were no apologies

2. Notes of the previous meeting

- The minutes of the previous meeting held on 24 June 2015 were agreed
- Additional evidence from a Hyde tenant was circulated to the group

3. Evidence from tenants

- The two Hyde tenants introduced themselves to the group
- Tenant A explained that their block of 11 flats had a maintenance charge of £9,500 for grass cutting compared to tenants of 19 bungalows located in the same estate who only had a £1,069 charge. Tenant A had actively sought a cheaper quote from a local contractor to address the grass cutting issue and had submitted this to Hyde with no response;
- The grass is cut every three to four weeks
- Tenants experienced an increased charge for staffing costs (despite only receiving services from one cleaner three hours a day, five day a week and there is no longer a warden) these charges totalled £1,436 in 2012 with a warden, £2,373 in 2013, £5,233 in 2014 and £16,489 in 2015
- The same 11 flats cover the telephone exchange costs used by the 11 flats and the 19 bungalows
- Tenants wish to receive an explanation of the rationale behind service charge calculation
- The only difference tenants could see between blocks of flats in same estate is a single light bulb but charges can be varied
- Tenants have experienced loss of work time and loss of money chasing up enquiries
- Tenants of one estate in Chichester with multiple blocks of the same style flats have been charged £280, £164 and £160 respectively for the same services
- One block of flats was charged £1,200 for a communal telephone and £766 for a lift when neither exist
- Some single parent tenants face hardship having to find extra money to cover the charges

- Tenants received no consultation prior to receipt of their statements
- One tenant refused to pay the increase and although they received letters from Hyde demanding payment no action has been taken to date
- Recent changes have been made to tenancy agreements (which can be provided by one of the tenants on request)

4. Hyde Group representatives

- Ms C Brown and Mr D Morrisey from the Hyde Group introduced themselves
- Ms Brown and Mr Morrisey had been sent the questions attached to the agenda prior to the meeting
- Ms Brown explained that Hyde as an organisation takes the welfare of its residents seriously and had thoroughly considered the increase in service charges before implementation
- Hyde had started an exercise to develop a better understanding of the services it provides to tenants
- Charges have been adjusted based on initial findings
- The Income team and Hyde Plus team handle customers problems as they arise
- Mrs Apel asked why tenants displaying signs of hardship who had contacted Hyde still have no response
- Mr Morrisey explained that every enquiry will receive a response and members should contact Ms Brown or Mr Morrisey with details of any tenant awaiting a response and they will investigate
- Hyde use a computerised logging system to note all calls received
- Mr Morrisey clarified that the Hyde telephone line is freephone or minimal mobile charge and is answered by the nearest available operator either in Chichester or Lewisham in south London
- Mr Morrisey explained that Hyde have no legal requirement to carry out consultation with tenants prior to issuing service charge statements
- Hyde ask tenants to contact the Income team if they are unable to pay their charges
- Mr Shaxson asked if morally Mr Morrisey felt he should have consulted tenants
- Mr Morrisey replied that possibly Hyde should have consulted with tenants
- Changes to service charges have been applied by Hyde to its properties across the country
- Hyde will formally respond to all enquiries where tenants suggest they are paying for services they do not receive
- Mr Dunmall had been made aware of a situation where Hyde had not responded to a tenant over the course of a year
- He also stated that in some cases when combined with service charges
 Hyde social rents were higher than affordable rents in the same areas
- Ms Brown asked for the number of complaints received by the council
- Mr Hansford clarified that members had received multiple enquiries relating to a number of estates and blocks
- Mr Morrisey explained that Hyde issue a budget statement to tenants detailing the estimated costs spread across the year and then up to 18 months later tenants receive the actual statement with applied variation, at

which point there can be either a balance to pay or credit due back to the tenant

- The two statements can differ due to variation in contractor invoices
- Hyde have an internal challenge process for any contractor invoice deemed incorrect
- Ms Brown noted her disappointment at hearing bad customer experiences
- Mr Morrisey added that every housing organisation faces challenges on charges and Hyde have a good record of few tribunals and winning those that do go ahead
- Mr Shaxson noted the unreasonable 1900% service charge increases some tenants faced
- Mr Hansford explained one of the biggest issues for tenants is the anomalies between service charges in similar accommodation
- Mr Hansford cited the example given by the tenant above of costs Of £1436 in 2012 with a warden, £2373 in 2013, £5233 in 2014 and £16489 in 2015
- Ms Brown explained that a new mapping system provides greater detail for more accurate assessment of what should be included in service charges
- The new system will allow Hyde to provide more detailed data for future customers enquiries
- Hyde were unable to visit every building or road affected by the increase in service charges to assess reasonableness
- Ms Brown was concerned at the fear tenants have to approach Hyde directly
- Mr Shaxson explained it was also frustration that stopped tenants contacting Hyde directly
- Hyde cannot make money out of service charges as social housing providers
- Ms Brown reiterated that she would happily deal directly with members queries
- Hyde had recently begun a three year project to audit all its buildings and complete a full estates review including asking contractors to submit tenders covering a minimum standard and providing value for money
- Hyde have a Residents Assurance Panel in the south with members given site visibility, access to the tender process, estate days with contractors and estate inspections
- Hyde also have a Procurement Panel
- Hyde issue communications to provide relevant updates to residents
- Hyde provide tenants with advice that antisocial behaviour can lead to clusters of disproportionality in charges
- Mr Hansford asked for clarification of the reconciliation process
- Mr Morrisey explained that charges are calculated from a standard list based on historical information and the Hyde team evaluate the reasonableness
- Hyde have a dedicated process for dealing with members and Members of Parliament enquiries
- Mr Morrisey clarified that Hyde hold six years of historical data to handle service charge discrepancy enquiries
- Hyde aim to deal with service charge enquiries within 10 days however tenants will be informed if there is likely to be a delay of up to 28 days

5. Next steps

- Hyde to receive summarised feedback from the meeting with the names of a selection of blocks/estates that need immediate investigation (Pilgrim Court, Bishop Luffa Close, Butts Meadow, Townfield, Kirdford and Culvers, South Harting)
- Mr Hansford to seek legal advice from Mrs Golding in advance of the Task and Finish Group report to 15 September 2015 Overview and Scrutiny Committee (OSC) with regard to dealing with the report in public session
- The Task and Finish group to recommend to OSC that Hyde report back to the January 2016 meeting with a report on progress made with resolving complaints from the blocks/estates specified
- A letter of censure to be composed to Hyde referring to lack of tenant consultation, impact assessment and inconsistent processes

The meeting ended at 12.15pm